arXiv:0707.2247v2 [math.AC] 19 Jul 2007

Generic initial ideals, graded Betti numbers and k-Lefschetz properties

Tadahito Harima and Akihito Wachi

Deditcated to Professor Junzo Watanabe on his sixtieth birthday

Abstract

We introduce the k-strong Lefschetz property (k-SLP) and the k-weak Lefschetz property (k-WLP) for graded Artinian K-algebras, which are generalizations of the Lefschetz properties. The main results obtained in this paper are as follows:

1. Let I be a graded ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ whose quotient ring R/I has the SLP. Then the generic initial ideal of I is the unique almost revlex ideal with the same Hilbert function as R/I.

2. Let I be a graded ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ whose quotient ring R/I has the n-SLP. Suppose that all k-th differences of the Hilbert function of R/I are quasi-symmetric. Then the generic initial ideal of I is the unique almost revlex ideal with the same Hilbert function as R/I.

3. We give a sharp upper bound on the graded Betti numbers of Artinian K-algebras with the k-WLP and a fixed Hilbert function.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A02; Secondary 13D07, 13F20, 13D40, 13C05.

Keywords and Phrases. Lefschetz property, generic initial ideal, graded Betti number, almost revlex ideal.

2 Generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and the SLP **4**
2.1 The Lefschetz properties and x_n-chain decomposition 4
2.2 Almost revlex ideals and the SLP 9
2.3 Uniqueness of Borel-fixed ideals and generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ 12

3 Generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and the k-SLP **15**
3.1 k-SLP and k-WLP 15
3.2 Correspondence of Borel-fixed ideals and the k-SLP 17
3.3 Hilbert functions of graded algebras with the k-SLP 21
3.4 Uniqueness of Borel-fixed ideals and generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ 23

4 An extremal property of graded Betti numbers and the k-WLP **27**
4.1 Graded Betti numbers of stable ideals and the k-WLP 28
4.2 Maximality of graded Betti numbers and the k-WLP 32

1 Introduction

The strong and weak Lefschetz properties for graded Artinian K-algebras (Definition 1. SLP and WLP for short) are often used in studying generic initial ideals and graded Betti numbers ([Wat87a], [Iar94], [HW03], [HMNW03], [Cim06], [ACP06], [AS07]). We generalize the Lefschetz properties, and define the k-strong Lefschetz property (k-SLP) and the k-weak Lefschetz property (k-WLP) for graded Artinian K-algebras (Definition 23). This notion was first introduced by A. Iarrobino in a private conversation with J. Watanabe in 1995. The first purpose of the paper (Theorem 39) is to determine the generic initial ideals of ideals whose quotient rings have the n-SLP and have Hilbert functions satisfying some condition. The second purpose is to give upper bounds of the graded Betti numbers of graded Artinian K-algebras with the k-WLP (Theorem 54).

Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Suppose that a graded Artinian K-algebra A has the SLP (resp. WLP), and $\ell \in A$ is a Lefschetz element. If $A/(\ell)$ again has the SLP (resp. WLP), then we say that A has the 2-SLP (resp. 2-WLP). We recursively define the k-Lefschetz properties (Definition 23): A is said to have the k-SLP (resp. k-WLP), if A has the SLP (resp.

WLP), and $A/(l)$ has the $(k-1)$-SLP (resp. $(k-1)$-WLP). We have the characterization of the Hilbert functions of graded Artinian K-algebras with the k-SLP or the k-WLP (Proposition 33). In addition, for a graded Artinian ideal $I \subset R$, we show that R/I has the k-SLP (resp. k-WLP) if and only if $R/\operatorname{gin}(I)$ has the k-SLP (resp. k-WLP), where $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ denotes the generic initial ideal with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order.

We explain our results on generic initial ideals. A monomial ideal I is called an almost revlex ideal, if the following condition holds: for each minimal generator u of I, every monomial v with $\deg v = \deg u$ and $v >_{\mathrm{revlex}} u$ belongs to I. Almost revlex ideals play a key role in the paper. The characterization of the Hilbert functions for almost revlex ideals is given in Proposition 34. We start with the uniqueness of generic initial ideals in the case of three variables.

Theorem (see Theorem 20). *Let $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be a graded Artinian ideal whose quotient ring has the SLP. Then* $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ *is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function of R/I. In particular,* $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ *is uniquely determined only by the Hilbert function.*

For related results of the case of three variables, see Cimpoeaş [Cim06] and Ahn-Cho-Park [ACP06], where the uniqueness of $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ is proved under slightly stronger conditions than in the theorem above. We give some examples of complete intersection of height three whose generic initial ideals are the unique almost revlex ideals (Example 22).

By using the n-SLP, we obtain the following result for the case of n variables. In the following theorem, 'quasi-symmetric' is a notion including 'symmetric' (Definition 28).

Theorem (see Theorem 39). *Let $I \subset K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be a graded Artinian ideal whose quotient ring has the n-SLP, and has the Hilbert function h. Suppose that the k-th difference $\Delta^k h$ is quasi-symmetric for every integer k with $0 \le k \le n-4$. Then* $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ *is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function h. In particular,* $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ *is uniquely determined only by the Hilbert function h.*

Here the operator Δ is defined by $(\Delta h)_i = \max\{h_i - h_{i-1}, 0\}$, and $\Delta^k h$ is the sequence obtained by applying Δ k-times.

The key to proving this theorem is a uniqueness of Borel-fixed ideals whose quotient rings have the n-SLP (Theorem 36). We give some examples of complete intersection of height n whose generic initial ideals are the unique almost revlex ideals (Example 42).

We next explain our result on the maximality of graded Betti numbers. Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. The following result on the maximal graded Betti numbers is first proved for $k = 1$ by Harima-Migliore-Nagel-Watanabe [HMNW03].

Theorem (see Theorem 54 and Corollary 55). *Let h be the Hilbert function of some graded Artinian K-algebra with the k-WLP. Then there is a Borel fixed ideal I of R such that R/I has the k-SLP, the Hilbert function of R/I is h, and $\beta_{i,i+j}(A) \leq \beta_{i,i+j}(R/I)$ for all graded Artinian K-algebra A having the k-WLP and h as Hilbert function, and for any i and j.*

In particular, when $k = n$, the ideal I for the upper bounds is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function h.

Some of the results of this paper have been obtained independently and at the same time by Constantinescu (see [Con07]) and Cho-Park (see [CP07]).

2 Generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and the SLP

In this section, we first recall the Lefschetz properties (Definition 1) and related facts. We then introduce the notion of x_n-chains (Definition and Remark 4), which is a useful tool to study standard monomials. The main goal of this section is Theorem 20: for a graded Artinian ideal $I \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ whose quotient ring has the SLP, the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the the graded reverse lexicographic order is the unique almost revlex ideal for the same Hilbert function as $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]/I$.

2.1 The Lefschetz properties and x_n-chain decomposition

Definition 1. Let A be a graded Artinian algebra over a field K, and $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{c} A_i$ its decomposition into graded components. The graded algebra A is said to have the *strong (resp. weak) Lefschetz property*, if there exists an element $\ell \in A_1$ such that the multiplication map $\times \ell^s : A_i \to A_{i+s}$ $(f \mapsto \ell^s f)$ is full-rank for every $i \geq 0$ and $s > 0$ (resp. $s = 1$). In this case, ℓ is called a *Lefschetz element*, and we also say that (A, ℓ) has the strong (resp. weak)

Lefschetz property. We abbreviate these properties as the *SLP (resp. WLP)* for short.

It is clear that if (A, ℓ) has the SLP, then (A, ℓ) has the WLP. It is also clear that Hilbert functions of graded algebras with the SLP or the WLP are *unimodal*. Namely there exists a non-negative integer i such that $h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_i$ is an increasing sequence and $h_i, h_{i+1}, \ldots$ is a weakly decreasing sequence, where $h_j = \dim_K A_j$. Following [HMNW03, Remark 3.3], we define positive integers $u_1, u_2, \ldots$ as follows for later use.

$$h_0 < h_1 < \cdots < h_{u_1} = h_{u_1+1} = \cdots = h_{u_2-1} > h_{u_2} = \cdots = h_{u_3-1} > h_{u_3} = \cdots \tag{1}$$

Suppose that the Hilbert function of the graded Artinian algebra A is *symmetric*, that is, $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{c} A_i$ $(A_c \neq (0))$ and $\dim_K A_i = \dim_K A_{c-i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor c/2 \rfloor$. In this case, it is clear that A has the SLP if and only if there exists $\ell \in A_1$ and $\times \ell^{c-2i} : A_i \to A_{c-i}$ is bijective for every $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor c/2 \rfloor$.

For a graded algebra A, we denote its Hilbert function by $\mathbf{H}_A$. Namely $\mathbf{H}_A(t)$ denotes the linear dimension of the graded component A_t of degree t. We often identify $\mathbf{H}_A$ with a finite sequence $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$.

Remark 2 ([HW07a, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2])**.** The Lefschetz properties can be written in terms of Hilbert functions as follows. Let $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be a graded ideal, and h the Hilbert function of R/I.

(i) $(R/I, \ell)$ has the WLP if and only if the Hilbert function of the quotient ring $R/(I + (\ell))$ is equal to the difference Δh of h, where Δh is defined by

$$(\Delta h)_i = \max\{h_i - h_{i-1}, 0\} \qquad (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),$$

where h_{-1} is defined as zero.

(ii) $(R/I, \ell)$ has the SLP if and only if the Hilbert function of the quotient ring $R/(I + (\ell^s))$ is equal to the sequence

$$(\max\{h_i - h_{i-s}\}, 0)_{i=0,1,\ldots},$$

for every positive integer s. Here $h_i = 0$ for $i < 0$.

A sequence $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$ is called an *O-sequence* if h is a Hilbert function of some graded K-algebra. There is a classification of Hilbert functions of graded Artinian algebras with the SLP or the WLP.

Proposition 3 ([HMNW03, Corollary 4.6])**.** *Let $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$ be a sequence of positive integers. The following three conditions are equivalent.*

(i) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the SLP,

(ii) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the WLP,

(iii) h is a unimodal O-sequence, and the sequence Δh is an O-sequence.

□

We introduce the notion of x_n-chains. Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K. We take any term order σ on R. When a graded ideal I of R is given, a monomial which does not belong to the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_\sigma(I)$ of I is called a *standard monomial* with respect to I. The standard monomials with respect to I is the same as the standard monomials with respect to $\mathrm{in}_\sigma(I)$. The standard monomials span the quotient ring R/I as a K-vector space ([BH93, Theorem 4.2.3]).

Definition and Remark 4. Let $I \subset R$ be a graded Artinian ideal. An x_n*-chain* with respect to I and a term order σ is defined as the sequence

$$\{ux_n^s \mid s \geq 0,\ ux_n^s \notin \mathrm{in}_\sigma(I)\} \qquad (u \in R,\ x_n \nmid u)$$

consisting of standard monomials, where $u \in R$ is a monomial not divisible by x_n. Note that the x_n-chains with respect to I is the same as that with respect to $\mathrm{in}_\sigma(I)$.

The set of the standard monomials decomposes into disjoint x_n-chains, and we call this decomposition the x_n*-chain decomposition* of the standard monomials with respect to I and σ. Note that the x_n-chain decomposition with respect to I and σ is uniquely determined by I and σ, and that the x_n-chain decomposition with respect to I and σ is the same as that with respect to $\mathrm{in}_\sigma(I)$. Moreover, if I is a monomial ideal, then the x_n-chain decomposition does not depend on term orders.

For example, consider $I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_2x_3^2) + (x_1, x_2, x_3)^4$ in $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. The x_3-chain decomposition of the standard monomials is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & x_1, & x_1x_3, & x_1x_3^2, \\ & x_2, & x_2x_3, & \\ 1, & x_3, & x_3^2, & x_3^3. \end{array} \qquad \text{(each row forms an x_3-chain)} \tag{2}$$

For $I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^3, x_1x_3^2, x_2^2x_3^2, x_2x_3^3, x_3^5)$, the x_3-chain decomposition of the standard monomials is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & x_2^2, & x_2^2x_3, & \\ & x_1, & x_1x_3, & & \\ & x_2, & x_2x_3, & x_2x_3^2, & \\ 1, & x_3, & x_3^2, & x_3^3, & x_3^4. \end{array} \qquad \text{(each row forms an x_3-chain)} \tag{3}$$

We can also consider x_i-chains for $i < n$, but we do not need them in this paper.

Here we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a quotient ring R/I to have the SLP or the WLP in terms of x_n-chains introduced in Definition 4. This condition is only a paraphrase of the ordinary conditions in terms of minimal generators and Hilbert functions. Our condition, however, concretely describes the structure of standard monomials in contrast to the ordinary conditions. In particular, an advantage of our conditions appears when we construct a monomial ideal for which the quotient ring has the SLP and has a given Hilbert function.

Definition 5. Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, and $I \subset R$ a graded Artinian ideal, and fix any term order on R.

(i) For the x_n-chain decomposition of the standard monomials, we call the following condition the *strong Lefschetz condition* (the *SL condition*, for short):

> For any two x_n-chains $u, ux_n, ux_n^2, \ldots, ux_n^s$ and $v, vx_n, vx_n^2, \ldots, vx_n^t$, where $u, v \in R$ are monomials not divisible by x_n, if $\deg u < \deg v$, then $\deg ux_n^s \geq \deg vx_n^t$.

Roughly speaking, this condition means that a chain which begins at a lower degree continues until a higher degree.

(ii) For the x_n-chain decomposition of the standard monomials, we call the following condition the *weak Lefschetz condition* (the *WL condition*, for short):

> Let u_2 be the minimum integer satisfying $h_{u_2-1} > h_{u_2}$ (see Equation (1)), where $(h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$ denotes the Hilbert function of R/I. Then every x_n-chain starts at a degree less than or equal to u_1, and ends in a degree greater than or equal to $u_2 - 1$.

In other words, if an x_n-chain ends in degree d, then no x_n-chain starts from degrees greater than d.

For example, the x_3-chain decomposition in Figure (2) satisfies the SL condition. The x_3-chain decomposition in Figure (3) does not satisfy the SL condition, but satisfies the WL condition.

The following lemma relates the Lefschetz properties with the Lefschetz conditions for the x_n-chain decomposition. This lemma is fundamental in studying the standard monomials whose quotient rings have the SLP or the WLP.

Lemma 6. *Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, and consider the graded reverse lexicographic order on R. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$(R/I, x_n)$ has the SLP (resp. WLP).*

(ii) *$(R/\operatorname{in}_{revlex}(I), x_n)$ has the SLP (resp. WLP).*

(iii) *The x_n-chain decomposition of the standard monomials with respect to I satisfies the SL condition (resp. WL condition).*

Proof. It is obvious that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. We show the equivalence of (i) and (ii). Let $\operatorname{in}(I)$ denote the initial ideal of I with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order. We have $\operatorname{in}(I : x_n^s) = \operatorname{in}(I) : x_n^s \quad (s > 0)$ [Eis95, Proposition 15.12]. By the following linear isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ker}(R/I \overset{\times x_n^s}{\to} R/I) = I : x_n^s/I,$$
$$\operatorname{Ker}(R/\operatorname{in}(I) \overset{\times x_n^s}{\to} R/\operatorname{in}(I)) = \operatorname{in}(I) : x_n^s/\operatorname{in}(I),$$

we have

$$\operatorname{rank}(\times x_n^s : (R/I)_i \to (R/I)_{i+s}) = \operatorname{rank}(\times x_n^s : (R/\operatorname{in}(I))_i \to (R/\operatorname{in}(I))_{i+s}) \qquad (i \geq 0,\ s > 0),$$

where ‘rank’ means the rank of a linear map, and the i-th graded components are denoted as $(R/I)_i$ and so on. For a graded ideal J of R, $(R/J, x_n)$ has the SLP (resp. WLP), if and only if the linear map $\times x_n^s : (R/J)_i \to (R/J)_{i+s}$ is full-rank for every $i \geq 0$ and $s > 0$ (resp. $s = 1$). Therefore it follows from the formula above that (i) and (ii) of the lemma are equivalent. □

2.2 Almost revlex ideals and the SLP

Definition 7. Let I be an ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. For an invertible matrix $g = (g_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \in GL(n; K)$, the transform $g(I)$ of the ideal I is defined by the image of the action $gx_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{ij}x_i$. An ideal I of R is said to be *Borel-fixed*, if $g(I) = I$ for every upper triangular matrix $g \in GL(n; K)$.

A monomial ideal I is said to be *strongly stable* (resp. *stable*) if I satisfies the following condition:

> for each monomial $u \in I$ and an index j (resp. the maximum index j) satisfying $x_j | u$, the monomial $x_i u/x_j$ belongs to I for every $i < j$. (4)

It is known that strongly stable ideals are Borel-fixed for any characteristic, and that Borel-fixed ideals are strongly stable when the characteristic is zero (see [Eis95, 15.9], e.g.).

We recall a result of Wiebe [Wie04]. This lemma shows that the x_n-chain decomposition is a useful tool in studying stable ideals whose quotient rings have the SLP or the WLP.

Lemma 8 (Wiebe, [Wie04, Lemma 2.7])**.** *If I is an Artinian stable ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R/I has the SLP (resp. WLP),

(ii) x_n is a strong (resp. weak) Lefschetz element on R/I.

□

We show another lemma, which also relates stable ideals with x_n-chains. This lemma is a special case of Lemma 47 proved below, and we omit the proof.

Lemma 9. *Let I be an Artinian stable ideal of $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, and $u, ux_n, \ldots, ux_n^{s-1}$ an x_n-chain with respect to I, where u is a monomial not divisible by x_n, and $s \geq 1$. Then ux_n^s is a member of the minimal generators of I.* □

We define the notion of almost revlex ideals (almost revlex-segment ideals). Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero. Let $>_{\mathrm{revlex}}$ denote the graded reverse lexicographic order.

Definition 10. (i) A monomial ideal I is called a *revlex ideal*, if the following condition holds:

> for each monomial $u \in I$, every monomial v with $\deg v = \deg u$ and $v >_{\mathrm{revlex}} u$ belongs to I.

(ii) A monomial ideal I is called an *almost revlex ideal*, if the following condition holds:

> for each monomial u in the minimal generating set of I, every monomial v with $\deg v = \deg u$ and $v >_{\mathrm{revlex}} u$ belongs to I.

Remark 11. First it is clear that

(i) revlex ideals are almost revlex ideals.

Second,

(ii) if two almost revlex ideals have the same Hilbert function, then they are equal,

since one can determine the minimal generators from low degrees using a given Hilbert function.

In addition to Remark 11, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 12. *Almost revlex ideals are Borel-fixed.*

Proof. Let w be a monomial of an almost revlex ideal I, and suppose that $x_j \mid w$. We show $(x_i w)/x_j \in I$ for all $i < j$. Take a monomial u in the minimal generating set of I such that $w = vu$ for some monomial v. If $x_j \mid v$ then $(x_i w)/x_j = ((x_i v)/x_j)u \in I$. If $x_j \mid u$ then $(x_i u)/x_j \in I$, since $(x_i u)/x_j >_{revlex} u$ and I is an almost revlex ideal. Hence $(x_i w)/x_j = v((x_i u)/x_j) \in I$. $\square$

The notion 'almost revlex' is paraphrased in terms of x_n-chains as follows.

Proposition 13. *Let I be a monomial Artinian ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, and set $\overline{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. Then I is an almost revlex ideal, if and only if I satisfies the following two conditions:*

(i) $I \cap \overline{R}$ *is almost revlex,*

(ii) For two standard monomials $u <_{revlex} v$ *not divisible by* x_n*, the ending degree of the* x_n*-chain starting with* u *is greater than or equal to that of the* x_n*-chain starting with* v*.*

In the condition (ii), revlex denotes the graded reverse lexicographic order, and note that the degrees of u *and* v *are not necessarily equal.*

Since the condition (ii) of Proposition 13 in the case where $\deg u < \deg v$ is nothing but the SL condition, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 14. *Let* $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an Artinian almost revlex ideal. Then* $(R/I, x_n)$ *has the SLP.* ☐

Proof of Proposition 13. Let I be an almost revlex ideal. It is clear that $I \cap \overline{R}$ is almost revlex, and we prove (ii). Let u and v be standard monomials not divisible by x_n with $u <_{\text{revlex}} v$. Note that $\deg u \leq \deg v$ in this case. Suppose that $vx_n^{s-\deg v}$ is standard ($s \geq \deg v$). It suffices to show that $ux_n^{s-\deg u}$ is standard for proving (ii). Assume that $ux_n^{s-\deg u} \in I$, then there exists an integer t ($\deg u < t \leq s$) such that $ux_n^{t-\deg u}$ is a minimal generator thanks to Lemma 9. Since I is almost revlex, if $t \geq \deg v$ then $vx_n^{t-\deg v} \in I$, and hence $vx_n^{s-\deg v} \in I$. This is a contradiction, and therefore $t < \deg v$. In this case, all the monomials in $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ of degree t belong to I, since $ux_n^{t-\deg(u)}$ is a minimal generator and I is almost revlex. This yields that $v \in I$, and this is also a contradiction. We therefore have $ux_n^{s-\deg u} \notin I$, and proved (ii).

Conversely, let I be a monomial ideal of R satisfying (i) and (ii). We prove that I is almost revlex. Let ux_n^s ($s \geq 0$) be a minimal generator of I, where u is a monomial not divisible by x_n. We have to show that every monomial of the same degree as ux_n^s which is greater than ux_n^s with respect to $<_{\text{revlex}}$ belongs to I. We first consider the case of $s = 0$. Monomials of the same degree as u which is greater than u are monomials in $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. Such monomials are in $I \cap \overline{R}$, since $I \cap \overline{R}$ is almost revlex. Hence such monomials belong to I. Second we consider the case of $s > 0$. In this case u is a standard monomial. Take a monomial vx_n^t ($t \geq 0$) of the same degree as ux_n^s, where v is not divisible by x_n, and $vx_n^t >_{\text{revlex}} ux_n^s$. We have to show that $vx_n^t \in I$. The relation $vx_n^t >_{\text{revlex}} ux_n^s$ implies that $v >_{\text{revlex}} u$ ($t = s$) or $\deg v > \deg u$ ($t < s$). In both cases, we have $v >_{\text{revlex}} u$. Then vx_n^t can not be a standard monomial because of the condition (ii). Hence $vx_n^t \in I$. We thus have proved that I is an almost revlex ideal. ☐

2.3 Uniqueness of Borel-fixed ideals and generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$

For a given O-sequence, it is known that a Borel-fixed ideal of $K[x_1, x_2]$, whose quotient ring has the O-sequence as the Hilbert function, is unique. It is the unique lex-segment ideal determined by the Hilbert function. Moreover we have the following theorem, which gives the uniqueness of Borel-fixed ideals for $n = 3$, where the quotient rings have the SLP.

Theorem 15. *Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be the polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero, and I an Artinian Borel-fixed ideal of R where R/I has the SLP. Then the ideal I is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function. In particular, the ideal I is uniquely determined only by the Hilbert function.*

Proof. We check the conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 13. First, $I \cap K[x_1, x_2]$ is Borel-fixed, and hence almost revlex, since Borel-fixed ideals are revlex ideals in $K[x_1, x_2]$. Thus the condition (i) of Proposition 13 holds.

Second we check the condition (ii). For two standard monomials $u <_{\text{revlex}} v$ not divisible by x_3, whose degrees are not necessarily equal, we prove that the ending degree of the x_3-chain starting with u is greater than or equal to that of the x_3-chain starting with v. In the case where $\deg u < \deg v$, the condition (ii) of Proposition 13 is nothing but the SL condition as mentioned before Corollary 14. Since $(R/I, x_3)$ has the SLP, this condition holds. Next suppose that $\deg u = \deg v = k$, and let $u = x_1^a x_2^{k-a}$, $v = x_1^b x_2^{k-b}$, and $a < b$. If vx_3^s is standard then ux_3^s is also standard, since I is Borel-fixed. This means again that the condition (ii) of Proposition 13 holds.

Thus the conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 13 hold, and hence I is almost revlex. Finally I is determined only by the Hilbert function, by Remark 11 (ii). $\square$

If the number of variables is more than three, then Theorem 15 does not hold. In the middle of the proof we take two standard monomials $u <_{\text{revlex}} v$ of the same degree not divisible by x_3, and concluded that ux_3^s is standard if vx_3^s is standard. But this argument fails when the number of the variables is greater than three. Take $u <_{\text{revlex}} v$ as $u = x_1 x_3$ and $v = x_2^2$ in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$, for example. In this case, we can not conclude from the condition 'Borel-fixed' that ux_4^s is standard even if vx_4^s is standard. See Example 38 for a counterexample in the case of four variables.

Example 16. For a given Hilbert function, Borel-fixed ideals in $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, where the quotient rings have the WLP, are not unique in general. Consider the following distinct Borel-fixed ideals in R:

$$I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^3, x_2^2x_3, x_1x_3^3, x_2x_3^3, x_3^5),$$
$$J = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^3, x_1x_3^2, x_2^2x_3^2, x_2x_3^3, x_3^5).$$

We can easily check that both $(R/I, x_3)$ and $(R/J, x_3)$ have the WLP and the same symmetric Hilbert function $h = (1, 3, 4, 3, 1)$. Note that R/I has the SLP, but R/J does not. The standard monomials with respect to J is given in Figure (3).

Example 17. For given Hilbert functions, stable ideals in $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, where the quotient rings have the SLP, are not unique in general. Consider the following distinct stable ideals in R:

$$I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_1x_3^2) + (x_1, x_2, x_3)^4,$$
$$J = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_2x_3^2) + (x_1, x_2, x_3)^4.$$

We can easily check that both $(R/I, x_3)$ and $(R/J, x_3)$ have the SLP and the same Hilbert function $h = (1, 3, 3, 2)$. Note that I is Borel-fixed, but J is not. The standard monomials with respect to J is given in Figure (2).

The following is an immediate corollary to Proposition 3, Corollary 14 , Theorem 15 and Lemma 19 below.

Corollary 18. *Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and $h = (1, 3, h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_c)$ an O-sequence. The following three conditions are equivalent:*

(i) h is a Hilbert function of R/I for some almost revlex ideal I of R,

(ii) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the SLP,

(iii) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the WLP,

(iv) h is a unimodal O-sequence, and Δh is an O-sequence. ☐

In the rest of this section, we study generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. We recall the definition of generic initial ideals. Fix any term order σ on the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ over a field of characteristic zero. For a graded ideal I of R, there exists a Zariski open subset $U \subset GL(n; K)$ such that the initial ideals of $g(I)$ are equal to each other for any $g \in U$. This initial ideal is uniquely determined, called the *generic initial ideal* of I, and denoted by $\operatorname{gin}_\sigma(I)$. It is known that generic initial ideals are Borel-fixed with respect to any term order (see [Eis95, 15.9], e.g.).

Thus we have results on generic initial ideals of ideals whose quotient rings have the SLP, as an easy consequence of Theorem 15. We first recall another result of Wiebe. We write simply by $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order from now on.

Lemma 19 (Wiebe, [Wie04, Proposition 2.8]). *Take the graded reverse lexicographic order on the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ over a field K of characteristic zero. Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of R. Then R/I has the SLP if and only if $R/\operatorname{gin}(I)$ has the SLP.* □

We thus have the following theorem by Lemma 8, Theorem 15 and Lemma 19.

Theorem 20. *Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be the polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero, and consider the graded reverse lexicographic order on R. Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of R, and suppose that R/I has the SLP. Then the generic initial ideal $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ is the unique almost revlex ideal for the same Hilbert function as R/I. In particular, $\operatorname{gin}(I)$ is uniquely determined by the Hilbert function.* □

Cimpoeaş [Cim06] shows that the generic initial ideals of complete intersections of height three whose quotient rings have the SLP are almost revlex ideals. Theorem 20 is an improvement of this result. In addition, Theorem 20 is an improvement of a result of Ahn, Cho and Park [ACP06]. They prove that the generic initial ideals of ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ whose quotient rings have the SLP are determined by their graded Betti numbers.

Remark 21. For a graded ideal $I \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, if its quotient ring has the WLP and does not have the SLP, then its generic initial ideal is not determined by the Hilbert function in general. A counterexample is already given in Example 16, since the generic initial ideal of a Borel-fixed ideal I is equal to I itself.

Example 22. We give four examples of complete intersection in $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ whose quotient rings have the SLP. The generic initial ideals of these ideals are the unique almost revlex ideals with corresponding Hilbert functions by Theorem 20.

(i) Let $I = (f, g, \ell^r) \subset R$, where f and g are any homogeneous polynomials of R, and ℓ is any homogeneous polynomial of degree one. In this case, if I is a complete intersection, then R/I has the SLP ([HW07a, Example 6.2]).

(ii) Let e_1, e_2 and e_3 be the elementary symmetric functions in three variables, where $\deg(e_i) = i$. Let r and s be positive integers, where r divides s. Then, the quotient ring of the ideal $I = (e_1(x_1^r, x_2^r, x_3^r), e_2(x_1^r, x_2^r, x_3^r), e_3(x_1^s, x_2^s, x_3^s))$ of R has the SLP ([HW07a, Example 6.4]).

(iii) Let p_i be the power sum symmetric function of degree i in three variables, and a be a positive integer. Then, the quotient ring of the ideal $I = (p_a, p_{a+1}, p_{a+2})$ of R has the SLP ([HW07b, Proposition 7.1]).

(vi) Let $I = (e_2, e_3, f) \subset R$, where f is any homogeneous polynomial of R. In this case, if I is a complete intersection, then R/I has the SLP ([HW07b, Proposition 3.1]).

3 Generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and the k-SLP

Suppose that a graded Artinian algebra A has the SLP (resp. WLP), and $\ell \in A$ is a Lefschetz element. If the graded algebra $A/(\ell)$ again has the SLP (resp. WLP), then we say that A has the 2-SLP (resp. 2-WLP). We define the notion of the k-SLP and the k-WLP recursively (Definition 23). A characterization of the Hilbert functions of graded Artinian algebras having the k-SLP or the k-WLP is given in Proposition 33. Moreover, the Hilbert functions of quotient rings by almost revlex ideals are determined in terms of the n-SLP in Proposition 34.

The main goal of this section is Theorem 39: Let $I \subset K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be a graded Artinian ideal whose quotient ring has the n-SLP, and every k-th difference of the Hilbert function is quasi-symmetric. The generic initial ideal of I with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order is the unique almost revlex ideal for the same Hilbert function as $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$.

3.1 k-SLP and k-WLP

The first author heard from J. Watanabe that the following notion, the 'k-SLP' and the 'k-WLP', has been introduced by A. Iarrobino in a private conversation with J. Watanabe in 1995.

Definition 23. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{c} A_i$ be a graded Artinian K-algebra, and k a positive integer. We say that A has the *k-SLP* (resp. *k-WLP*) if there exist linear elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k \in A_1$ satisfying the following two conditions.

(i) (A, g_1) has the SLP (resp. WLP),

(ii) $(A/(g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}), g_i)$ has the SLP (resp. WLP) for all $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$.

In this case, we say that $(A, g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ has the k-SLP (resp. k-WLP). Note that a graded algebra with the k-SLP (resp. k-WLP) has the $(k-1)$-SLP (resp. $(k-1)$-WLP).

Remark 24. From Theorem 4.4 in [HMNW03], one knows that all graded K-algebras $K[x_1]/I$ and $K[x_1, x_2]/I$ have the SLP. Hence the following conditions are equivalent for a graded Artinian algebra $A = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$, where $I \subset (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$.

(i) A has the n-WLP (resp. the n-SLP),

(ii) A has the $(n-1)$-WLP (resp. the $(n-1)$-SLP),

(iii) A has the $(n-2)$-WLP (resp. the $(n-2)$-SLP).

In particular, graded algebras $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]/I$ with the SLP (resp. WLP) has the 3-SLP (resp. 3-WLP) automatically.

Example 25. For every Artinian almost revlex ideal I of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ where $I \subset (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$, the quotient ring R/I has the n-SLP. In particular, for every revlex ideal I of R where $I \subset (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$, the quotient ring R/I has the n-SLP.

Proof. Let $\overline{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. If I is an almost revlex ideal of R, then it follows from Corollary 14 that $(R/I, x_n)$ has the 1-SLP, and $I \cap \overline{R}$ is again an almost revlex ideal. This shows that $\overline{I + (x_n)/(x_n)}$ is an almost revlex ideal as an ideal of $R/(x_n) \simeq \overline{R}$, and hence $(R/I, x_n, x_{n-1})$ has the 2-SLP. Repeating this argument, we obtain that $(R/I, x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_1)$ has the n-SLP. □

Example 25 shows that the class of Hilbert functions for almost revlex ideals is a subset of that for ideals with the n-SLP. In fact, Proposition 34 shows that these two classes coincide. We also determine the class of Hilbert functions for ideals with the k-SLP in Proposition 33.

Let $\mathrm{gin}(I)$ denote the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order. The following proposition is an analogue of Wiebe's result (Lemma 19) [Wie04, Proposition 2.8].

Proposition 26. *Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and let $1 \leq k \leq n$. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- *(i) R/I has the k-WLP (resp. the k-SLP),*
- *(ii) $(R/\operatorname{gin}(I), x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-k+1})$ has the k-WLP (resp. the k-SLP).*

Proof. We can give a proof using a similar idea to the proof of Proposition 2.8 in [Wie04]. First we show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent for the k-WLP. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$. Lemma 1.2 in [Con03] says that the Hilbert function of $R/(I + (g_1, \dots, g_i))$ for generic linear forms $g_1, \dots, g_i$ is equal to the Hilbert function of $R/(\operatorname{gin}(I) + (x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots x_{n-i+1}))$. Noting the last variable is a Lefschetz element of quotient rings by Borel-fixed ideals when they have the WLP, it follows from Remark 2 (i) that this means the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

Next we show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent for the k-SLP. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$. By slightly generalizing the proofs of Lemma 1.2 in [Con03] and Proposition 2.8 in [Wie04], it follows that the Hilbert function of $R/(I + (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i^s))$ is equal to the Hilbert function of $R/(\operatorname{gin}(I)+(x_n, \dots, x_{n-i+2}, x_{n-i+1}^s))$ for generic linear forms $g_1, \dots, g_i$ and $s \geq 1$. Noting that the last variable is a Lefschetz element of quotient rings by Borel-fixed ideals when they have the SLP, it follows from Remark 2 (ii) that this means the equivalence of (i) and (ii) for the k-SLP. $\square$

Remark 27. Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ whose quotient ring R/I has the k-SLP (resp. k-WLP). If I is Borel-fixed, then $\operatorname{gin}(I) = I$. Hence, by Proposition 26, $(R/I, x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-k+1})$ has the k-SLP (resp. k-WLP). In other words, we can choose the variable x_{n-i+1} as a Lefschetz element on $R/(I, x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-i+2})$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

3.2 Correspondence of Borel-fixed ideals and the k-SLP

We consider graded Artinian ideals $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ such that $(R/I, x_n)$ has the SLP, and define the map $\varphi(I) = I \cap K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. We study behaviors of this map when restricting to the Borel-fixed ideals or the almost revlex ideals.

For an O-sequence h, let $\mathcal{M}_n(h)$ denote the set of the monomial ideals of R for which R/I has the Hilbert function h. We need the following definition for Lemma 29.

Definition 28. A unimodal sequence $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$ of positive integers is said to be *quasi-symmetric*, if the following condition holds:

> Let h_i be the maximum of $\{h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c\}$. Then every integer h_j $(j > i)$ is equal to one of $\{h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_i\}$.

In particular, unimodal symmetric sequences are quasi-symmetric.

Lemma 29. *Set* $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ *and* $\overline{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. *Let* $h = (1, n, h_2, \ldots, h_c)$ *be an O-sequence which can be a Hilbert function of a graded algebra with the SLP. Consider the mapping*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi : & \{I \in \mathcal{M}_n(h) \ ; \ (R/I, x_n) \textit{ has the SLP}\} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(\Delta h) \\ & & & \\ & I & \mapsto & I \cap \overline{R}. \end{array}$$

Note that the domain of the map φ *is not empty thanks to Lemmas 8 and 19. We have the following.*

(i) φ *is surjective,*

(ii) φ *is bijective, if* h *is quasi-symmetric.*

Proof. We first note that the map φ is well-defined thanks to Remark 2.

(i) For a graded Artinian ideal $\overline{I} \in \mathcal{M}_{n-1}(\Delta h)$, we construct $I \in \mathcal{M}_n(h)$ for which $(R/I, x_n)$ has the SLP. For this purpose, we construct the set M of the standard monomials with respect to I, since the monomials not belonging to M generate I. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m \in \overline{R}$ be the standard monomials with respect to $\overline{I}$, where $\deg v_i \leq \deg v_{i+1}$. In each degree, the ordering of v_i's is arbitrary. Let $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$ be the lengths of the x_n-chains with respect to I, where duplicated lengths repeatedly appear, and $p_i \geq p_{i+1}$. Note that p_i's are determined only by the O-sequence h, since R/I should have the SLP. We define the set M of monomials as a union of x_n-chains:

$$M = \{v_i x_n^k \ ; \ 1 \leq i \leq m, \ 0 \leq k < p_i\}.$$

This set M is the standard monomials with respect to an ideal $I \in \mathcal{M}_n(h)$ as follows. It suffices to prove that any factor of monomials in M again belongs to M. For $u \in M$ not divisible by x_n, any factor of u is in M, since u is a standard monomial with respect to $\overline{I}$. For $ux_n^s \in M$ $(s \geq 1)$, the factor ux_n^{s-1} sits in the same x_n-chain as ux_n^s, and hence $ux_n^{s-1} \in M$. Let v be a factor of u. Then the factor vx_n^s of ux_n^s belongs to M, since the x_n-chain starting with v is not shorter than that starting with u, by the construction of M. Thus we have proved that any factor of monomials in M again belongs to M, and this shows that the surjectivity of φ.

(ii) In the proof above, if h is quasi-symmetric, then there is only one choice of the lengths of x_n-chains starting with v_i and v_j, where $\deg v_i = \deg v_j$. Hence the map φ is bijective. $\square$

Next we restrict the mapping φ in Lemma 29 to the Borel-fixed ideals for which the quotient rings have the k-SLP. We define the following collections of ideals in $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ for $0 \le k \le n$ and a given finite O-sequence h:

$$\mathcal{B}_n^k(h) = \{I \in \mathcal{M}_n(h)\ ;\ I \text{ is Borel-fixed and } R/I \text{ has the } k\text{-SLP}\},$$
$$\mathcal{A}_n(h) = \{I \in \mathcal{M}_n(h)\ ;\ I \text{ is almost revlex}\},$$

where 0-SLP means the empty condition. Clearly we have the following inclusions.

$$\mathcal{B}_n^0(h) \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{B}_n^{k-1}(h) \supset \mathcal{B}_n^k(h) \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{B}_n^n(h) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(h) \tag{5}$$

Here the inclusion with $\mathcal{A}_n$ is by Example 25. Note that $\mathcal{B}_n^k(h)$ is not empty in the case where h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the k-SLP.

Proposition 30. *Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero, and $\overline{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. Let k be an integer with $1 \le k \le n$. Take an O-sequence $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$, which is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the k-SLP. Define the map φ_B as*

$$\varphi_B : \mathcal{B}_n^k(h) \to \mathcal{B}_{n-1}^{k-1}(\Delta h) \qquad (\varphi_B : I \mapsto I \cap \overline{R}).$$

Then we have the following:

- *(i) φ_B is surjective,*
- *(ii) φ_B is bijective, if h is quasi-symmetric.*

Proof. We first show that φ_B is well-defined. Take an ideal $I \in \mathcal{B}_n^k(h)$. It is clear that $I \cap \overline{R}$ is Borel-fixed. Since x_n is a Lefschetz element of R/I by Lemma 8, it follows from Remark 2 that the Hilbert function of $\overline{R}/(I \cap \overline{R})$

is equal to Δh. Remark 27 shows that $(R/I, x_n, \dots, x_{n-k+2}, x_{n-k+1})$ has the k-SLP, if R/I has the k-SLP. Hence $\overline{R}/(I \cap \overline{R})$ has the $(k-1)$-SLP, and we have proved that φ_B is well-defined.

Second, we prove the surjectivity. Take an ideal $I \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}^{k-1}(h)$. By Lemma 29 (i), there is an inverse image I of $\overline{I}$, whose quotient ring has the k-SLP. We take the inverse image I such that the standard monomials v_i with respect to $\overline{I}$ in the proof of Lemma 29 are ordered as $v_i <_{\text{revlex}} v_{i+1}$. It remains to show that I is Borel-fixed. Let $v_i x_n^s$ $(s \geq 0)$ be a standard monomial with respect to I, and suppose that $x_j | v_i x_n^s$. To prove that I is Borel-fixed, we have to show that $(v_i/x_j)x_m x_n^s$ is standard for $j < m \leq n$. First, if $j < m < n$, then $(v_i/x_j)x_m$ is a standard monomial of $\overline{R}$ with respect to $\overline{I}$, whose degree is equal to that of v_i and satisfies that $(v_i/x_j)x_m <_{\text{revlex}} v_i$. By the proof of Lemma 29, the length of x_n-chain starting with $(v_i/x_j)x_m$ is not shorter than that starting with v_i. Hence $(v_i/x_j)x_m x_n^s$ is standard. Second, let $m = n$. Note that v_i/x_j is a standard monomial of $\overline{R}$ with respect to $\overline{I}$, whose degree is less than that of v_i. By the SL condition, the ending degree of x_n-chain starting with v_i/x_j is not less than that starting with v_i. Hence $(v_i/x_j)x_n^{s+1}$ is standard. Thus we have proved that I is Borel-fixed, and φ_B is surjective.

Third, the bijectivity of the case where h is quasi-symmetric follows from Lemma 29 (ii). □

Remark 31. Even when a given Hilbert function h is not necessarily quasi-symmetric, the map φ_B of Proposition 30 happens to be bijective in the following cases. When $n \leq 2$, Borel-fixed ideals are unique for given Hilbert functions, and hence φ_B is bijective. Moreover, when $n = 3$, Borel-fixed ideals whose quotient rings have the SLP are unique by Theorem 15, and hence φ_B is bijective.

Remark 32. Let h be the Hilbert function of a quotient ring R/I for some Artinian almost revlex ideal $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Then $\mathcal{A}_n(h)$ contains only one ideal, since almost revlex ideals are unique for given Hilbert functions. The ideal $I \cap K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ is again almost revlex, and hence $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(\Delta h)$ contains only one ideal. Thus we have the following bijection φ_A.

$$\mathcal{A}_n(h) \overset{\varphi_A}{\to} \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(\Delta h) \qquad (\varphi_A : I \mapsto I \cap \overline{R}).$$

3.3 Hilbert functions of graded algebras with the k-SLP

We give a characterization of the Hilbert functions that can occur for graded K-algebras having the k-SLP or the k-WLP. Their characterizations are equal as in the case of the SLP and the WLP (Proposition 3). For a sequence $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$ of positive integers, define a sequence of the t-th difference $\Delta^t h$ by

$$\Delta^t h = \Delta(\Delta(\cdots \Delta(h) \cdots)) \qquad \text{(apply } t \text{ times)},$$

for a positive integer t.

Proposition 33. *Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and k be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $h = (1, n, h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_c)$ be an O-sequence. The following three conditions are equivalent:*

- *(i) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the k-SLP,*
- *(ii) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the k-WLP,*
- *(iii) h is a unimodal O-sequence, $\Delta^t h$ is a unimodal O-sequence for every integer t with $1 \leq t < k$, and $\Delta^k h$ is an O-sequence.*

Proof. It is clear that (i) implies (ii). Using Remark 2 and Proposition 3 repeatedly, We can show that (ii) implies (iii). We prove that (iii) implies (i). Let h be a sequence satisfying the condition of (iii). Since we may take generic initial ideals, there exists a Borel-fixed ideal I' of the polynomial ring R' in $(n-k)$ variables, for which the Hilbert function of R'/I' is equal to $\Delta^k h$. Starting with I', we can repeat taking one of inverse images under the surjection φ_B in Proposition 30, and we have a Borel-fixed ideal I of R, where R/I has the k-SLP and has the Hilbert function h. Thus we proved that (iii) implies (i). $\square$

In addition, we have a characterization of the Hilbert functions of quotient rings R/I for Artinian almost revlex ideals I. The characterization is the same as ideals with the n-WLP. This result is an analogue of the result of Deery [Dee96] or Marinari-Ramella [MR99, Proposition 2.13], which gives the characterization of the Hilbert functions for revlex ideals.

Proposition 34. *Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and $h = (1, n, h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_c)$ an O-sequence. The following four conditions are equivalent:*

(i) h is a Hilbert function of R/I for some almost revlex ideal I of R,

(ii) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the n-SLP,

(iii) h is a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the n-WLP,

(iv) h is a unimodal O-sequence, and $\Delta^k h$ is a unimodal O-sequence for every integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. By Example 25 and Proposition 33, we have only to show that (ii) implies (i). We prove it by induction on n. The assertion is true for $n = 1$. Let h be a Hilbert function of some graded algebra with the n-SLP. By the assumption of induction, we can take an almost revlex ideal $\overline{I}$ of $\overline{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$, where the Hilbert function of $\overline{R}/\overline{I}$ is equal to Δh. Since $\overline{I}$ is Borel-fixed and its quotient ring has the $(n-1)$-SLP, there is an inverse image $I \subset R$ under the surjection φ_B of Proposition 30. In the construction of this inverse image, if we arrange $v_1, v_2, \ldots$ in the proof of Lemma 29 as $v_1 <_{\text{revlex}} v_2 <_{\text{revlex}} \cdots$, then it follows from Proposition 13 that I is almost revlex. Thus we have proved that (ii) implies (i). $\square$

Remark 35. The class of Hilbert functions of graded Artinian algebras with the n-SLP described in Proposition 34 is also characterized as follows using the condition (iv) of Proposition 34:

(i) The finite sequences $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ form the class of Hilbert functions of graded Artinian algebras $K[x_1]/I$ having the 1-SLP.

(ii) All the Hilbert functions of $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]/I$ having the n-SLP can be obtained by applying the following manipulation $(n-1)$ times to sequences $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

The manipulation is as follows:

> For a given sequence $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$, make a finite sequence b_j by
>
> $$b_0 = a_0, \quad b_1 = a_0 + a_1, \quad \ldots, \quad b_m = a_0 + \cdots + a_m,$$
>
> and $b_m, b_{m+1}, \cdots$ are any weakly decreasing positive integers.

3.4 Uniqueness of Borel-fixed ideals and generic initial ideals in $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$

When $n \leq 3$, we already know that Borel-fixed ideals of $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ whose quotient rings have the n-SLP are the unique almost revlex ideals for given Hilbert functions. Moreover, we have the following theorem for any n. For a sequence h, we use a convention that the 0-th difference $\Delta^0 h$ is h itself.

Theorem 36. *Let $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be an Artinian Borel-fixed ideal whose quotient ring R/I has the n-SLP, and let h be the Hilbert function of R/I. Suppose that the k-th difference $\Delta^k h$ is quasi-symmetric for every integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n-4$. Then I is the unique almost revlex ideal for which the Hilbert function of R/I is equal to h. In particular, I is determined only by the Hilbert function.*

Proof. The theorem is already proved for $n \leq 3$, and let $n \geq 4$. Applying φ_B of Proposition 30 to I $(n-3)$-times, we obtain the Borel-fixed ideal $I \cap K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, whose quotient ring has the 3-SLP. This Borel-fixed ideal is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function $\Delta^{n-3}h$. All φ_B in this process are bijective by Proposition 30. For every k, note that $\Delta^k h$ is a Hilbert function for an algebra having the $(n-k)$-SLP, and hence for the quotient ring by an almost revlex ideal by Proposition 33. Therefore all successive inverse images of $I \cap K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ are almost revlex by Remark 32. Hence I is almost revlex. $\square$

In particular, we have the following uniqueness for Borel-fixed ideals in the case of four variables.

Corollary 37. *Let $I \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ be a Borel-fixed ideal, for which $K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/I$ has a quasi-symmetric Hilbert function h , and has the 2-SLP. Then I is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function h.* $\square$

In Theorem 36, if we drop the condition for $\Delta^k h$ to be quasi-symmetric, then the uniqueness does not necessarily hold as follows.

Example 38. (i) There exist two different Borel-fixed ideals with the 4-SLP in $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$, and their quotient rings have the same non-quasi-symmetric Hilbert function. Define the following ideals:

$$I = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^3, x_2^2x_3, x_1x_3^2, x_2x_3^2, x_3^3, x_2^2x_4) + (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^4,$$
$$J = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^3, x_2^2x_3, x_1x_3^2, x_2x_3^2, x_3^3, x_1x_3x_4) + (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^4.$$

We can easily check that both I and J are Borel-fixed, have the 4-SLP, and R/I and R/J have the same Hilbert function $h = (1, 4, 8, 7)$.

Moreover this example shows that φ_B of Proposition 30 is not injective for $n = k = 4$ and $h = (1, 4, 8, 7)$, since $I \cap \overline{R} = J \cap \overline{R}$ for $\overline{R} = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

(ii) The inverse images of I and J under φ_B of Proposition 30 give two distinct Borel-fixed ideals, whose quotient rings have the 5-SLP, and have the same symmetric Hilbert function $h' = (1, 5, 13, 20, 13, 5, 1)$.

In the rest of this section, we study generic initial ideals in the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ over a field of characteristic zero. The following theorem, which gives a uniqueness of generic initial ideals, follows from Theorem 36 and Proposition 26.

Theorem 39. *Let $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be a graded Artinian ideal whose quotient ring R/I has the n-SLP, and let h be the Hilbert function of R/I. Suppose that the k-th difference $\Delta^k h$ is quasi-symmetric for every integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n-4$. Then the generic initial ideal* $\mathrm{gin}(I)$ *with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function h. In particular,* $\mathrm{gin}(I)$ *is determined only by the Hilbert function.* $\square$

In particular, we have the following corollary, which corresponds to Corollary 37.

Corollary 40. *Let $I \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ be a graded Artinian ideal whose quotient ring has the 2-SLP. Suppose that the Hilbert function h of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]/I$ is quasi-symmetric. Then the generic initial ideal* $\mathrm{gin}(I)$ *with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order is the unique almost revlex ideal for the Hilbert function h. In particular,* $\mathrm{gin}(I)$ *is determined only by the Hilbert function.* $\square$

Remark 41. We give a remark on Moreno's conjecture stated in [Cim06, Conjecture D]. The conjecture is as follows:

> **Moreno's Conjecture.** If $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \in R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ is a generic sequence of homogeneous polynomials of given degree $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$, $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and J is the initial ideal of I with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, then J is an almost revlex ideal.

Cimpoeaş [Cim06] proves that this conjecture is true for $n = 3$. When $n = 4$, if the quotient ring of any generic complete intersection has the 2-SLP, then the conjecture holds by Corollary 40.

When a socle degree is fixed, Watanabe [Wat87a] shows that the quotient rings of generic complete intersections in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ has the SLP. In relation to Moreno's conjecture, the following question arises:

> **Question.** Does any generic almost complete intersection in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ have the SLP?

Example 42. Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K of characteristic zero. We consider complete intersections as follows.

(a) Let f_1 and f_2 be homogeneous polynomials of degree d_i $(i = 1, 2)$, and let $g_3, \ldots, g_n$ be linear forms. Set $I = (f_1, f_2, f_3 = g_3^{d_3}, \ldots, f_n = g_n^{d_n})$. Suppose that $\{f_1, f_2, g_3, \ldots, g_n\}$ is a regular sequence. Example 6.2 in [HW07a] shows that R/I has the SLP.

(b) For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $f_i \in K[x_i, \ldots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d_i which is a monic in x_i, and set $I = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$. Then R/I is always a complete intersection. Corollary 29 in [HW03] and Corollary 2.1 in [HP05] show that R/I has the SLP.

Now, let k be an integer satisfying $1 \le k \le n - 2$ and suppose that

$$d_j \ge d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{j-1} - (j - 1) + 1$$

for all $j = n - k + 1, n - k + 2, \ldots, n$. Then we have the following.

(i) $A = R/I$ has the k-SLP.

(ii) In particular, when $k = n - 2$, A has the n-SLP.

(iii) The generic initial ideal of I coincides with the unique almost revlex ideal determined by the Hilbert function of A.

(iv) $\mathrm{gin}(x_1^{d_1}, x_2^{d_2}, \ldots, x_n^{d_n}) = \mathrm{gin}(I)$.

Proof. Choose a general linear form $\overline{\ell} \in A$, where $\ell \in R$ is a linear from, satisfying the following conditions:

- $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}, \ell\}$ is a regular sequence,

- $\overline{\ell}$ is a Lefschetz element of A.

Set $S = R/\ell R$, and

$$B = A/\overline{\ell}A = S/(\overline{f_1}, \overline{f_2}, \ldots, \overline{f_{n-1}}, \overline{f_n}).$$

Noting that $d_n \geq d_1+d_2+\cdots+d_{n-1}-(n-1)+1$ and $\{\overline{f_1}, \overline{f_2}, \ldots, \overline{f_{n-1}}\}$ is a regular sequence, it is easy to verify that $(\overline{f_1}, \overline{f_2}, \ldots, \overline{f_{n-1}}, \overline{f_n}) = (\overline{f_1}, \overline{f_2}, \ldots, \overline{f_{n-1}})$ and $B = S/(\overline{f_1}, \overline{f_2}, \ldots, \overline{f_{n-1}})$ is a complete intersection. Hence B also has the SLP by Example 6.2 in [HW07a], Corollary 29 in [HW03] and Corollary 2.1 in [HP05], and A has the 2-SLP. Repeating this argument, we have the assertion (i). The assertion (ii) follows from Remark 24. Next, we note that all k-th differences of the Hilbert function of A are symmetric. Hence The assertion (iii) follows from Theorem 39. The assertion (iv) is easy. ☐

We conclude this section by an additional relation of initial ideals with the k-WLP or the k-SLP. Although this result is not used in the rest of this paper, it is an analogue of Wiebe's result [Wie04, Proposition 2.9].

Proposition 43. *Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, let* $\mathrm{in}(I)$ *be the initial ideal of I with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order and let $1 \leq k \leq n$. If $R/\mathrm{in}(I)$ has the k-WLP (resp. the k-SLP), then the same holds for R/I.*

Proof. We can give a proof using a similar idea to the proof of [Wie04, Proposition 2.9]. First we consider the case of the k-WLP. Conca proves in [Con03, Theorem 1.1] that

$$\mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_i))}(t) \leq \mathbf{H}_{R/(\mathrm{in}(I)+(g_1,\ldots,g_i))}(t) \tag{6}$$

for generic linear forms $g_1, \ldots, g_i$ and all $t \geq 0$. In order to prove our claim, from Remark 2 (i), it is enough to show that the Hilbert function of $R/(I + (g_1, \ldots, g_i))$ coincides with that of $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_i))$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ under the assumption that the Hilbert function of $R/(I + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}))$ is equal to that of $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}))$. Set $h = \mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_{i-1}))}$. Using an argument of induction, we may assume that $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}))$ has the WLP. Hence it follows from Remark 2 (i) that the Hilbert function of $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_i))$ is equal to Δh. Furthermore one can easily check that

$$(\Delta h)_t \leq \mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_i))}(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Hence it follows from (6) that

$$\mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_i))}(t) = \mathbf{H}_{R/(\mathrm{in}(I)+(g_1,\ldots,g_i))}(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Next we consider the case of the k-SLP. From the proof of [Wie04, Proposition 2.9], we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_{i-1},g_i^s))}(t) \leq \mathbf{H}_{R/(\mathrm{in}(I)+(g_1,\ldots,g_{i-1},g_i^s))}(t) \tag{7}$$

for generic linear forms $g_1, \ldots, g_i$, $s \geq 1$ and all $t \geq 0$. In order to prove our claim, it is enough to show from Remark 2 (ii) that the Hilbert function of $R/(I + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i^s))$ coincides with that of $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i^s))$ for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ under the assumption that the Hilbert function of $R/(I + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}))$ is equal to that of $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}))$. Set $h = \mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_{i-1}))}$. Using an argument of induction, we may assume that $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}))$ has the SLP. Hence, it follows from Remark 2 (ii) that the Hilbert function of $R/(\mathrm{in}(I) + (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i^s))$ is equal to the sequence $(b_t)_{t \geq 0}$:

$$b_t = \max\{h_t - h_{t-s}, 0\},$$

where $h_t = 0$ for $t < 0$. Furthermore one can easily check that

$$b_t \leq \mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_{i-1},g_i^s))}(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Hence it follows from (7) that

$$\mathbf{H}_{R/(I+(g_1,\ldots,g_{i-1},g_i^s))}(t) = \mathbf{H}_{R/(\mathrm{in}(I)+(g_1,\ldots,g_{i-1},g_i^s))}(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. □

4 An extremal property of graded Betti numbers and the k-WLP

In the rest of this paper, we study graded Betti numbers for monomial ideals. The goal is Theorem 54 on the maximality of graded Betti numbers. We give a sharp upper bound on the graded Betti numbers of graded Artinian algebras with the k-WLP and a fixed Hilbert function. The upper bounds are achieved by the quotient rings by Borel-fixed ideals having the k-SLP. In particular, when $k = n$, almost revlex ideals give the upper bounds.

4.1 Graded Betti numbers of stable ideals and the k-WLP

Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero, and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be the maximal graded ideal. We recall $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals, which are first defined by Watanabe in [Wat87b], and studied in [Wat91]. $\mathfrak{m}$-Full ideals are useful in studying graded Betti numbers.

Definition 44 ([Wat87b])**.** An ideal $I \subset R$ is called an $\mathfrak{m}$-*full ideal*, if there exists an element $z \in R$ such that $\mathfrak{m}I : z = I$.

It is known that z can be taken as a general homogeneous element of degree one, if I is graded. Note that an inclusion $\mathfrak{m}I : z \supset I$ always holds, if z does not have a constant term.

Example 45. (i) An easy example of $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ [Wat87b, Remark 1]. Indeed, $\mathfrak{p}$ is an associated prime of $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{p}$, and hence there is z such that $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{p} : z = \mathfrak{p}$.

(ii) If I is a stable ideal, then I is $\mathfrak{m}$-full as follows: Set $z = x_n$ and let $m \in \mathfrak{m}I : z$ be a monomial. Since $zm \in \mathfrak{m}I$, we have $zm = x_i m'$ for some x_i and a monomial $m' \in I$. Hence $m = x_i m'/z \in I$, since I is stable. Thus I is $\mathfrak{m}$-full.

(iii) If $I \subset R$ is a monomial ideal and $(R/I, x_n)$ has the SLP, then I is $\mathfrak{m}$-full as follows: Set $z = x_n$, and let m be a monomial in $\mathfrak{m}I : x_n$. We prove that $m \in I$. There exist a minimal generator m' of I and a monomial $u \in R$ with $\deg u \geq 1$, such that $x_n m = u m'$. If x_n divides u, then $m = (u/x_n)m'$ belongs to I. If x_n does not divide u, then $m'/x_n = m/u$ has the same exponent of x_n as that of m, and satisfies that $\deg m' \leq \deg m$. Assume that $m \notin I$, and consider the following two x_n-chains: (a) the x_n-chain containing m, and (b) the x_n-chain ending in m'/x_n. Then the ending degree of (a) is greater than that of (b) by $\deg m' \leq \deg m$, and the starting degree of (a) is greater than that of (b), since the exponent of x_n in m and that in m'/x_n are the same, and $\deg(m'/x_n) < \deg m$. This contradicts the SL condition, and hence $m \in I$.

J. Watanabe proved formulas for Betti numbers of $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals [Wat91, Corollary 8, 9]. It should be remarked that these formulas are applicable even when the ideal is not graded. For graded $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals, we obtain a formula for graded Betti numbers as follows. We also remark that this formula recovers the formula of Eliahou and Kervaire [EK90] for graded Betti numbers for stable ideals.

Proposition 46 ([Wat91, Corollary 8])**.** *Let I be a graded $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideal of R, and $z \in R_1$ an element satisfying $\mathfrak{m}I : z = I$. Set $\overline{R} = R/zR$, and $\overline{I} = (I + zR)/zR$. Write $I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r, zf_{r+1}, \dots, zf_s)$ by homogeneous polynomials, where they are minimal generators of I, and first r polynomials are minimal generators of $\overline{I}$. Then we have the following formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i,i+j}(R/I) = \beta_{i,i+j}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}) + \binom{n-1}{i-1} \times c_{j+1}, \\ c_j = \#\{t \; ; \; r < t \leq s, \deg(zf_t) = j\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\beta_{i,i+j}(R/I) = \dim_K[\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, K)]_{i+j}$, and $\beta_{i,i+j}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}) = \dim_K[\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\overline{R}}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}, K)]_{i+j}$.

In particular, if I is a monomial ideal and $z = x_n$, then we have

$$c_j = \#\{\textit{the minimal generators of degree } j \textit{ divisible by } x_n\}. \tag{9}$$

□

The following lemma shows a relation of $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals with x_n-chains. In particular, stable ideals have the property of this lemma. Although most results in this section concerning stable ideals can be proved for monomial $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals satisfying $\mathfrak{m}I : x_n = I$, we state such results only for stable ideals for simplicity.

Lemma 47. *Let $I \subset R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be a monomial Artinian $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideal satisfying $\mathfrak{m}I : x_n = I$, and $u, ux_n, \dots, ux_n^{s-1}$ an x_n-chain with respect to I, where u is a monomial not divisible by x_n, and $s \geq 1$. Then ux_n^s is a member of the minimal generators of I.*

Proof. Let I be a monomial $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideal, and $\mathfrak{m}I : x_n = I$. Let $u \in R$ be a monomial not divisible by x_n, and suppose that $ux_n^{s-1} \notin I$ and $ux_n^s \in I$. There exists a minimal generator vx_n^t dividing ux_n^s, and v is not divisible by x_n. Namely, $v|u$ and $t \leq s$. We have $t = s$, since $t < s$ contradicts that $ux_n^{s-1} \notin I$. Assume that $\deg v < \deg u$. Then there exists $i < n$ such that $x_i v | u$, and hence $x_i v x_n^{s-1} | u x_n^{s-1}$. Since $x_i v x_n^s \in \mathfrak{m}I$ and I is $\mathfrak{m}$-full, we have $x_i v x_n^{s-1} \in I$, and hence $ux_n^{s-1} \in I$. This is a contradiction, and we have $v = u$. This means that ux_n^s is a minimal generator. □

Remark 48. Let I be an Artinian stable ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, and suppose that $(R/I, x_n)$ has the WLP. Let $h = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_c)$ be the Hilbert function of R/I. It follows from Example 45 (ii), Lemma 47 and the WL condition that

(i) the number of the minimal generators of degree d divisible by x_n is equal to $\max\{h_{d-1} - h_d, 0\}$.

We can also say that

(ii) the minimal generators divisible by x_n occur only at degrees $u_2, u_3, \ldots$ defined in Equation (1), and the number of such generators of degree u_j ($j \geq 2$) is equal to $h_{u_j - 1} - h_{u_j}$.

In particular, the degrees of minimal generators divisible by x_n are determined only by the Hilbert function.

We have the following proposition by Proposition 46. It gives graded Betti numbers for stable ideals whose quotient rings have the WLP.

Proposition 49. *Let $I \subset R$ be an Artinian stable ideal, for which R/I has the Hilbert function $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$, and $(R/I, x_n)$ have the WLP. Let $\overline{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ and $\overline{I} = I \cap \overline{R}$. We have the following.*

(i) The graded Betti numbers $\beta_{i,i+j}(R/I)$ of R/I is given as follows:

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(R/I) = \beta_{i,i+j}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}) + \binom{n-1}{i-1} \times c_{j+1} \qquad (i, j \geq 0),$$
$$c_j = \max\{h_{j-1} - h_j, 0\},$$

where we use the convention that $h_{-1} = 0$.

(ii) By the same c_j, the last graded Betti numbers $\beta_{n,n+j}(R/I)$ is given as follows:

$$\beta_{n,n+j}(R/I) = c_{j+1} \qquad (j \geq 0).$$

In particular, they are determined only by the Hilbert function.

Proof. Let $I \subset R$ be an Artinian stable ideal, and suppose that $(R/I, x_n)$ has the WLP. In this case, the degrees of minimal generators divisible by x_n are determined only by the Hilbert function h of R/I thanks to Remark 48. Namely the constant c_j in Proposition 46 is written as $c_j = \max\{h_{j-1} - h_j, 0\}$. We thus obtain (i). We have (ii), since $\beta_{n,n+j}(\overline{R}/\overline{I}) = 0$. ☐

We easily generalize Proposition 49 to the case of the k-WLP.

Notation 50. For a unimodal O-sequence $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_c)$, we define

$$c_j^{(h)} = \max\{h_{j-1} - h_j, 0\}$$

for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots, c$, where $h_{-1} = 0$.

Proposition 51. *Let I be an Artinian Borel-fixed ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and suppose that R/I has the k-WLP.*

(i) Let $k < n$. Set $R' = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-k}]$ and $I' = I \cap R'$. Then

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(R/I) = \beta_{i,i+j}(R'/I') + \tbinom{n-k}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{k-1}h)} + \cdots + \tbinom{n-2}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta h)} + \tbinom{n-1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(h)}.$$

(ii) Let $k = n$. Then we have

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(R/I) = \tbinom{0}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{n-1}h)} + \tbinom{1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{n-2}h)} + \cdots + \tbinom{n-2}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta h)} + \tbinom{n-1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(h)}.$$

In particular, $\beta_{i,i+j}(R/I)$ is determined only by the Hilbert function.

Proof. Note that $(R/I, x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{n-k+1})$ has the k-WLP by Remark 27. Repeating the same argument as the proof of Proposition 49, we can get these equalities. ☐

Corollary 52. *Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and suppose that R/I has the k-WLP.*

(i) Let $k < n$. Set $R' = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-k}]$ and $I' = \operatorname{gin}(I) \cap R'$. Then

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(R/\operatorname{gin}(I)) = \beta_{i,i+j}(R'/I') + \tbinom{n-k}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{k-1}h)} + \cdots + \tbinom{n-2}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta h)} + \tbinom{n-1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(h)}.$$

(ii) Let $k = n$. Then we have

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(R/\operatorname{gin}(I)) = \tbinom{0}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{n-1}h)} + \tbinom{1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{n-2}h)} + \cdots + \tbinom{n-2}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta h)} + \tbinom{n-1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(h)}.$$

In particular, $\beta_{i,i+j}(R/\operatorname{gin}(I))$ is determined only by the Hilbert function.

Proof. This follows from Propositions 26 and 51. ☐

4.2 Maximality of graded Betti numbers and the k-WLP

Notation and Remark 53. Let h be the Hilbert function of a graded Artinian K-algebra R/I. Then there is the uniquely determined lex-segment ideal $J \subset R$ such that R/J has h as its Hilbert function. We define

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(h, R) = \beta_{i,i+j}(R/J).$$

The numbers $\beta_{i,i+j}(h, R)$ can be computed numerically without considering lex-segment ideals. Explicit formulas can be found in [EK90].

We give a sharp upper bound on the Betti numbers among graded Artinian K-algebras having the k-WLP. Moreover the upper bound is achieved by a graded Artinian K-algebra with the k-SLP. For $k = 1$, this theorem was first proved by [HMNW03, Theorem 3.20].

Theorem 54. *(i) Let $A = R/I$ be a graded Artinian K-algebra with the k-WLP and put $R' = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k}]$. Then the graded Betti numbers of A satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{i,i+j}(A) \leq \beta_{i,i+j}(\Delta^k h, R') &+ \binom{n-k}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{k-1}h)} + \cdots \\ &+ \binom{n-2}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta h)} + \binom{n-1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(h)} \qquad \text{if } k < n,\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{i,i+j}(A) \leq \binom{0}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{n-1}h)} &+ \binom{1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta^{n-2}h)} + \cdots \\ &+ \binom{n-2}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(\Delta h)} + \binom{n-1}{i-1} \cdot c_{j+1}^{(h)} \qquad \text{if } k = n.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

(ii) Let h be an O-sequence such that there is a graded Artinian K-algebra R/J having the k-WLP and h as Hilbert function. Then there is a Borel-fixed ideal I of R such that R/I has the k-SLP, the Hilbert function of R/I is h and the equality holds in (i) for all integers i, j.

Proof. (i) Since R/I has the k-WLP, it follows by Proposition 26 that $(R/\operatorname{gin}(I), x_n, \ldots, x_{n-k+1})$ has the k-WLP. Put $I' = \operatorname{gin}(I) \cap R'$. Noting that the Hilbert function of R'/I' coincides with $\Delta^k h$, we have by [Big93], [Hul93], [Par96] that

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(R'/I') \leq \beta_{i,i+j}(\Delta^k h, R')$$

for all i, j. Hence Proposition 51 shows that the Betti numbers of $R/\operatorname{gin}(I)$ satisfy the inequalities in (i). Thus our claim follows from the well known fact [Gre98], $\beta_{i,i+j}(R/I) \leq \beta_{i,i+j}(R/\operatorname{gin}(I))$ for all i, j.

(ii) We first consider the case where $k = n$. Let I be the unique almost revlex ideal of R whose quotient ring has the same Hilbert function h. Then I is the desired ideal from Example 25 and Proposition 51 (ii).

Let $k < n$. Let $I^{(k)}$ be the unique lex-segment ideal of R', where the Hilbert function of $R'/I^{(k)}$ is equal to $\Delta^k h$. We can take an inverse image $I^{(k-1)}$ of $I^{(k)}$ under φ_B of Proposition 30. Then $I^{(k-1)}$ is an ideal of $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-k+1}]$, and its quotient ring has the SLP and $\Delta^{k-1}h$ as the Hilbert function. Repeating the same procedure k times, we obtain a Borel-fixed ideal $I^{(0)}$ of R, whose quotient ring has the k-SLP and h as the Hilbert function. This ideal gives the upper bound of the graded Betti numbers as desired. □

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 54.

Corollary 55. *Let h be the Hilbert function of a graded Artinian K-algebra R/J having the n-WLP (resp. n-SLP). Let I be the unique almost revlex ideal of R whose quotient ring has the same Hilbert function h. Then R/I has the maximal Betti numbers among graded Artinian K-algebras with the same Hilbert function h and the n-WLP (resp. n-SLP).* □

Corollary 56. *Let I be a graded Artinian ideal of $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and suppose that R/I has the n-WLP (resp. n-SLP). Then $R/\operatorname{gin}(I)$ has the maximal Betti numbers among graded Artinian K-algebras with the same Hilbert function R/I and the n-WLP (resp. n-SLP).*

Proof. Proposition 26, Proposition 51 and Theorem 54 prove this corollary. □

References

[ACP06] Jea Man Ahn, Young Hyun Cho, and Jung Pil Park. Generic initial ideals of artinian ideals having Lefschetz properties or the strong Stanley property. math.AC/0610733, 2006.

[AS07] Jeaman Ahn and Yong Su Shin. Generic initial ideals and graded Artinian-level algebras not having the Weak-Lefschetz Property. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 210:855–879, 2007.

[BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[Big93] Anna Maria Bigatti. Upper bounds for the Betti numbers of a given Hilbert function. *Comm. Algebra*, 21(7):2317–2334, 1993.

[Cim06] Mircea Cimpoeaş. Generic initial ideal for complete intersections of embedding dimension three with strong Lefschetz property. math.AC/0610649, 2006.

[Con03] Aldo Conca. Reduction numbers and initial ideals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(4):1015–1020 (electronic), 2003.

[Con07] Alexandru Constantinescu. Hilbert Function and Betti Numbers of Algebras with Lefschetz Property of Order m. arXiv:0707.2330, 2007.

[CP07] Young Hyun Cho and Jung Pil Park. Conditions for Generic Initial Ideals to be Almost Reverse Lexicographic. arXiv:0707.1365, 2007.

[Dee96] Todd Deery. Rev-lex segment ideals and minimal Betti numbers. In *The Curves Seminar at Queen's, Vol. X (Kingston, ON, 1995)*, volume 102 of *Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 193–219. Queen's Univ., Kingston, ON, 1996.

[Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.

[EK90] Shalom Eliahou and Michel Kervaire. Minimal resolutions of some monomial ideals. *J. Algebra*, 129(1):1–25, 1990.

[Gre98] Mark L. Green. Generic initial ideals. In *Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996)*, volume 166 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 119–186. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

[HMNW03] Tadahito Harima, Juan C. Migliore, Uwe Nagel, and Junzo Watanabe. The weak and strong Lefschetz properties for Artinian K-algebras. *J. Algebra*, 262(1):99–126, 2003.

[HP05] Juergen Herzog and Dorin Popescu. The strong Lefschetz property and simple extensions. math.AC/0506537, 2005.

[Hul93] Heather A. Hulett. Maximum Betti numbers of homogeneous ideals with a given Hilbert function. *Comm. Algebra*, 21(7):2335–2350, 1993.

[HW03] Tadahito Harima and Junzo Watanabe. The finite free extension of Artinian K-algebras with the strong Lefschetz property. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 110:119–146, 2003.

[HW07a] Tadahito Harima and Junzo Watanabe. The central simple modules of Artinian Gorenstein algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 210(2):447–463, 2007.

[HW07b] Tadahito Harima and Junzo Watanabe. The strong Lefschetz property for Artinian algebras with non-standard grading. *J. Algebra*, 311:511–537, 2007.

[Iar94] Anthony A. Iarrobino. Associated graded algebra of a Gorenstein Artin algebra. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 107(514):viii+115, 1994.

[MR99] Maria Grazia Marinari and Luciana Ramella. Some properties of Borel ideals. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 139(1-3):183–200, 1999. Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Saint-Malo, 1998).

[Par96] Keith Pardue. Deformation classes of graded modules and maximal Betti numbers. *Illinois J. Math.*, 40(4):564–585, 1996.

[Wat87a] Junzo Watanabe. The Dilworth number of Artinian rings and finite posets with rank function. In *Commutative algebra and combinatorics (Kyoto, 1985)*, volume 11 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 303–312. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[Wat87b] Junzo Watanabe. $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 106:101–111, 1987.

[Wat91] Junzo Watanabe. The syzygies of $\mathfrak{m}$-full ideals. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 109(1):7–13, 1991.

[Wie04] Attila Wiebe. The Lefschetz property for componentwise linear ideals and Gotzmann ideals. *Comm. Algebra*, 32(12):4601–4611, 2004.